

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| | | | | _ | |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
| 10/786,850 | 02/24/2004 | Allan Svendsen | 10203.204-US | 3589 | |
| 25908 NOVOZYMES | 7590 01/23/200 S NORTH AMERICA, | EXAMINER | | | |
| 500 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1600 NEW YORK, NY 10110 | | | MOORE, WILLIAM W | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| • | | | 1656 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/23/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/786,850 | SVENDSEN ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| William W. Moore | 1656 | | |

| | William W. Moore | 1656 | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>05 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance, (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl | f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. | | | | | | | |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO CONTROL OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO CONTROL OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO | | | | | | | |
| · | MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have | | | | | | |
| been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | | |
| 2. ☑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>05 December 2005</u> . A | brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 | 1.37 must be filed wi | thin two months | | | | |
| of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl | a)), or any extension thereof (37 CF | R 41.37(e)), to avoid | dismissal of the | | | | |
| | hut major to the data of filing a bajo | f will not be ontound. | h | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | because | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | • | i È Delow), | | | | | |
| · '= ' | c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | jected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | • | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. $\overline{\boxtimes}$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | | , | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | | | ent canceling | | | | |
| 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ w | ill he entered and an | explanation of | | | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | in be entered and an | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>59-74 and 77-79</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | ut before or on the date of filing a N | Jotice of Appeal will r | ot he entered | | | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | ched. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | it does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | |
| 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | | |
| | | Shed | | | | | |
| | NASHA | vat t. Nashed PHD. | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claim amendments do not avoid the objection of record under 37 CFR 1.75(c) of claim 70 and create the same issue with claims 73 and 74.

Continuation of 13. Other: Claims 1, 2, and 7 of US 5,362,414 describe the proteases and compositions of claims 59-74 and 77-79 herein.